

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

IFW

Application : 09/78633P

Examiner : Louie Pham

GAU : 2814

From: TW

Location: IDC FMF (FDC)

Date: 8-1-05

Tracking #: 5891009

Week Date: 1-19-04

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM		<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPEC	<u>3-8-01</u>	

WRONG CLAIMS

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Please verify

On Pages 10 through 35 of the specification submitted on 3-8-01
there are references to original claims 5 through 60. Some of these
claims have been canceled and others renumbered. There are only 45
allowed claims in the application.

Thank You

TW

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: I ALLOWED THIS APPLICATION BASED ON THE CLAIMS
DATED 10/20/03. THERE ARE 65 CLAIMS AND THE APPLICANT HAS CANCELLED
CLAIMS 10, 17, 20, 33, 38, 40-47, 50, 55 AND 56. ONLY 45 CLAIMS LEFT
IN THE APPLICATION. PLEASE SEE THE EXAMINER AMENDMENT MAILED 1/2/04.

ALSO PLEASE SEE THE RESPONSE TO "PRINTER RUSH" 7/21/05

INITIALS: WSL

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response 8/18/05
 document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04

Louie, Wai-Sing

From: Stapor, Wynette
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 10:47 AM
To: Burch, Rori
Cc: Louie, Wai-Sing; Fahmy, Wael
Subject: PRINTER RUSH s/n 09/786338

Importance: High

Good morning,

Hope all is well you.

On this case- the examiner keeps getting printers rushes-dtd 2-11-04,6-28-04,8-16-04 and 03-03-05.

The reviewer keeps referring to an Notice of Allowance dated 1-02-04 where the examiner allowed 45 claims per amendment dated 10-03-04. The reveiwer keeps looking at an amendment dated

3-08-01 which is not the correct amendment.

The examiner has noted that it is amendment dtd 10-03-04 that he used to allowed case in his response to the printer quiery.

Would you please look into this matter and let us know if you agree with us.

As always, thanks for your help in this matter.

Wynette Stapor
Supervisory Legal Instrument Examiner - Team 8
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Jefferson Building - Room 4C35
OFFICE 571-272-1626
FAX 571 273-1626

Louie, Wai-Sing

From: Louie, Wai-Sing
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 2:26 PM
To: Fahmy, Wael
Cc: Ramirez, Nestor; Monroe, Bridget
Subject: Printer Rush 09/786338

Wael:

This case has been "printer rush" five times since the allowance in 1/2/2004. The allowance is based on the set of claims submitted 10/20/2003. However, the docket clerk uses a wrong set of claims dated 3/8/2001.

I pointed out the error in the last four printer rushes, but the docket clerk still come back with the same printer rush. What should I do?

Wai-Sing Louie
AU-2814
571-272-1709